		·^~	TW	متعتم الراحالية	ROL SYSTEM - COR	RES	SP.	ONDE	NCE	FILE	
RSV 42 MOTODON	SPRING SI	PE PE	RE	MEDIAL A	CTION PROJECT	ם	cc	ument	Numb	er: <u>80031</u>	
MK-FERG	SUSON CO.	IN		WO 3589	(314) 441-8086						
295 Hig	hway 94 Sout	h				►►D	Φ¢	าแคนเ	Type	: LR-DOEW-MKFW	
	les, MO 633					_	i	പ്രവ	rote i	OCN: 000893	
		_			CONTREACT CONINGS		ıĻΤ	gina	.045	ACM . GORDAG	
suBJECT	POST-CLEANUP	ĒVΑ	LUA'	TION FOR THE	SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE					<u> </u>	
				<u> </u>		_			<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
AUTHOR	MCCRACKEN, STE	VE	ŧ.		►►TO STEFFEN, DOUG	<u>; </u>				►►DATE 10/06/98	
	gone (MODY f	12.01		r Minner I	5030						i
REFERENC	CED DOCUMENT	(S)	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
	<u> </u>			N (TION ITEM TRACK	TNG	= }		-		
 	E ACTION IT	EM			<u> </u>						
		- T	^ T	CETON V	en vilett	ΙΤ.	Ή)	DEPA	RIMENT MOT.	
INDIVII	OUAL ASSIGNA	J 1	O A	C:ION Z	/		_				
ACTION	REQUIRED	_	,	100/			٠,	_			
DUE DAS	re <u>// /</u> C	<u> </u>	41	98 ACTI	ON ITEM LOG NUMBER	177	7	<u>~</u>			
<u> </u> -	/	/	1							2 45	
ii										Air J. A	
CLOSE	ACTION ITEM					4177.77		T 70 #			
ACTION	ITEM LOG NU	MBE	R_	<u> </u>	INITIATING DOCUM	JEN I	U]
COMPLET	TION DATE				APPROVAL	_	_				
					. <u> </u>	<u> </u>					1
	· · · ·									b.	à
COWMEN	TS			 .			_			44.34	_`
· 											: <u>-</u>
											 -
		ATT;	LCR /	APPRVL ROUTE					ROUTE	DISTRIBUTION	e W/O
בכ	STRIBUTION			ORDER INITIAL	DISTRIBUTION	N N	1/0	ORDER	INITIAL		1
D.Z. 5753	FFEN	\square			S.E. ANDERSON	\sqcup	<u> </u>			DOE	
к.м. раз	ENWELL		7		G.L. VALETT	1				S.H. MCCRACKEM	+
, S.E. HAR		7		·	C. GRANCHFIELD					G.A. NEWTOWN	
	<u>-</u>	1.7			M.L. MESELY] [,			F S. THOMPSON	
J.A. COO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	Ti		S.L. HODGES			,		J.R. SWRIGHT	\dashv
	··	\rac{1^{n}}{1}	 - 							K.A. REED	
D.K. MIR.		┼-	╁		Klato	1				T.C. PAULING	
P.O. CAT		 	+			1		<u> </u>		A.D. PICKETT	
D.E. RCF	··	╁	H								
W.W. JOB		+	\vdash	<u> </u>		+ 1		† -	1		
G E. MCX		╄	 							PAI	
D.S. XTX	(SON	Ψ.	÷	 			<u> </u>	 	├	M.B. BALLEN	
M. MITCH	EIL	+	 	 		-	-	 	1	S. RICWARDSON	$\lfloor \rfloor \rfloor$
9.J. FIN	<u> </u>	-	<u>!</u>	! ! -		383	\$%6		*****		
C.R. EAV	/AGE	┿-	┼		DOCUMENT CONTROL:	1000	P	400000	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	w.R. Owen	<u> </u>
0.0. WIX	CTCN	<u> </u>	╽.	!	CORRESPONDENCE FILE	+	 	 	 -	J. MARTIN	
3.E. HI	LLIAMS	Д.	-	 	WORK PACKAGE FILE	+	<u>: </u>	 	┼-		
!			<u> </u>	 		+	 	 	+		
			1	3 I	OR FORD	- 1	1	1	ì		┷┈┤
<u> </u>			<u>.</u>	 	TECH SDITCR	-	Ť	+ -			



Department of Energy

Oak Ridge Operations
Weldon Spring Site
Remedial Action Project Office
7295 Highway 94 South
St. Charles, Missouri 63304

October 6, 1998

Mr. Douglas E. Steffen Project Director MK-Ferguson Company 7295 Highway 94 South St. Charles, MO 63304

Dear Mr. Steffen:

POST-CLEANUP EVALUATION FOR THE SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE

Enclosed find the subject evaluation performed by Argonne National Lab for the purpose of determining the amount of risk reduction achieved by the removal action . The results of the post-cleanup assessment indicate that risk reduction was achieved in each segment of the drainage. At locations where sediment was removed, levels remaining after cleanup are near or below the 1×10^{-5} risk level for the hypothetical child scenario.

Per our discussions, two areas (locations 60 and 101) have been identified for follow-up investigation and evaluation for a possible additional limited removal effort. Please work closely with Tom Pauling.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. McCracken

Project Manager Weldon Spring Site

Remedial Action Project

Enclosure: As stated.

cc w/enclosure: Gene Valett, PMC Rebecca Cato, PMC Mary Picel, ANL



ATTACHMENT: POST-CLEANUP RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE

This attachment presents the results of the post-cleanup risk assessment performed for the Southeast drainage. The purpose of the assessment was to determine the amount of risk reduction achieved by the removal action.

Risk calculations were performed using the same methodology as used in the EE/CA (DOE 1996). Risks were estimated for the current hunter and future child scenarios. The exposure routes evaluated include incidental ingestion of sediment and external irradiation. Risk reduction achieved at specific locations is presented in Table 1. Risk estimates for the child scenario for all locations targeted in the EE/CA are shown. Seventeen additional locations were also cleaned up in the lower portion of Segment C and upper portion of Segment D because these locations were determined to be accessible during the planning stages of the removal action. These additional locations are indicated with an asterisk (*). Exposure point concentrations used to calculate potential post-cleanup risks were those obtained after removal was completed. Post-cleanup concentrations for each radionuclide at the various locations are shown in Table 1. At locations where more than one sample was taken, the data for each radionuclide were averaged.

Table 1. Location Specific Risk Estimates for the Child Scenario

	Exposure Point Concentration (pCi/g)				Cumulative Risk			
Location ID	Ra-226	Ra-228	Th-230	U-238	Baseline*	Post-Cleanup		
001	12	1.7	4.7	38	9 x 10 ⁻⁵	1 x 10 ⁻⁵		
005	4.7	2.9	23	11	2 x 10 ⁴	7 x 10 ⁻⁶		
012	1.7	1.1	2.2	ND	4 x 10 ⁻³	2 x 10 ⁻⁶		
025	15	1.3	21	. 74	3×10^{-4}	3 x 10 ⁻⁵		
027*	23	6.6	15	27	2×10^{-3}	2 x 10 ⁻⁵		
028	11	ND	3.2	3.7	3×10^{-3}	1 x 10 ⁻⁵		
055	4.3	0.99	5.6	8.8	2 x 10 ⁻⁵	5 x 10 ⁻⁶		
058	5	1.2	2.9	5.0	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	5 x 10 ⁻⁶		
059	4.9	ND	46	10	5 x 10 ⁻³	6 x 10 ⁻⁶ .		
060	120	17	2,500	79	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	2 x 10 ⁻⁴		
061	27	0.99	18	70	8 x 10 ⁻³	3 x 10 ⁻⁵		
062	1,3	1.1	1.3	ND	1 x 10 ⁻³	2 x 10 ⁻⁶		
063	11	ND	3.2	6.1	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	1 x 10 ⁻³		
064	2.9	1.3	4.7	10	2 x 10 ⁻⁵	4 x 10 ⁻⁹		
065	12	2.6	29	30	6 x 10 ⁻³	2 x 10 ⁻³		
066*	10	1.5	70	16	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	1 x 10 ⁻⁵		
067*	1.5	1.2	1.3	ND	3 x 10 ⁻⁵	2×10^{-6}		
068*	1.5	1.2	I.3	2.1	9 x 10 ⁻⁵	2×10^{-6}		
072	11	1.8	16	18	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	1 x 10 ⁻⁵		
092	5.4	1.5	38	80	2 x 10 ⁻⁵	9 x 10 ⁻⁶		

	Exposure Point Concentration (pCi/g)				Cumulative Risk		
Location ID	Ra-226	Ra-228	Th-230	U-238	Baseline*	Post-Cleanup	
093	1.9	1.2	0.76	76	2 x 10°	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	
094	3.8	1.2	8.9	17	1 x 10 ⁻³	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	
098	2,5	1.1	3.7	2.5	3 x 10 ⁻⁴	3×10^{-3}	
099	2.5	1.2	2.5	3.0	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	3 x 10 ⁻⁶	
101	89	6.8	1,900	19	2 x 10 ⁻⁴	1 x 10 ⁻⁴	
102.1	1.4	1.4	1.6	ND	9 x 10 ⁻⁵	2 x 10°	
102	2.8	1.3	6.4	9.9	2 x 10 ⁻⁵	4 x 10 ⁻⁵	
103	1.3	0.77	1.5	ND	4 x 10 ⁻⁵	2×10^{-6}	
104	4.1	1.1	9.4	11	1×10^4	6 x 10 ⁻⁵	
105	16	0.82	3.4	29	3 x 10 ³	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	
106	1.3	1.3	1.3	ND	6 x 10 ⁻⁵	2 x 10 ⁻⁶	
107*	34	1.8	45	40	4 x 10 ⁻⁵	3 x 10 ⁻⁵	
108.1*	7.1	0.98	3.3	9.6	3 x 10 ⁻³	7 x 10 ⁻⁶	
- 108*	5.3	1.1	4.7	11	2 x 10 ⁻⁵	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	
110*	4.3	1.1	2.9	24	3 x 10 ³	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	
110.1*	1.8	ND	2.1	5.6	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	3 x 10 ⁻⁶	
111*	4.6	1.2	22	29	4 x 10 ⁻⁵	9 x 10 ⁻⁶	
112*	11	ND	10	9.1	1 x 10 ⁻⁴	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	
113*	36	0.96	11	11	6 x 10°5	3 x 10 ⁻⁵	
114*	2,7	1.0	2.0	6.1	2 x 10 ⁻⁵	3 x 10 ⁻⁶	
115*	4.6	0.93	7.3	7.3	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	
116*	2.2	1.4	1.8	5.3	2 x 10 ⁻⁵	3 x 10 ⁻⁶	
117*	9.4	1.6	12	10	9×10^{-3}	9 x 10 ⁻⁶	
118*	17	6.7	60	70	2×10^{-3}	2 x 10 ⁻⁶	
119	1.5	0.99	0.69	11	2 x 10 ⁻⁵	2 x 10 ⁻⁶	
120	8.8	0.62	2.4	ND	1 x 10 ⁻⁴	8 x 10 ⁻⁶	
121	15	1.1	7,8	11	2×10^{-5}	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	
122	1.7	1.4	1.1	2.7	3 x 10 ⁻⁵	2×10^{3}	
123	5.0	1.1	7.1	3.8	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	
124	6.7	1.6	12	9.4	1×10^{-4}	7 x 10 ⁻⁶	
132	65	ND	120	15	1 x 10 ⁻⁴	6 x 10 ⁻³	
141	2.1	0.92	4.9	2.9	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	2 x 10 ⁻⁶	
149	10	1.4	18	34	2×10^{-5}	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	
153	7.3	1.2	3.5	6.4	9 x 10°	7 x 10 ⁻⁶	
154	5.1	1.7	8.6	8.3	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	

a Based on pre-removal data as presented in the EE/CA (DOE 1996).

Additional calculations were also performed to show risk reduction achieved for each segment. Exposure point concentrations for sediment were calculated for each exposure unit (i.e., segment) by using the one-tailed 95% upper confidence limit of the arithmetic average (UCL) or the maximum, whichever was lower (per EPA guidance). Post-cleanup data for each segment were aggregated with data from locations in each segment that were not targeted for cleanup. (Note that some locations that were not targeted for cleanup because they are not accessible have contaminant concentrations that exceed risk-based cleanup criteria). At locations where more than one sample was

collected, the data were averaged to obtain a representative concentrations for that location prior to aggregating the data for each segment. A summary of the data used in the risk calculations is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Residual Contamination in the Southeast Drainage

	Radionuclide Concentration (pCi/g)							
Radionuclide	Segment A		Segment B		Segment C		Segment D	
	Range	UCL	Range	UCL	Range	UCL	Range	UCL
Radium-226	1.3-39	23	1.2-110	40	1.1-36	12	1.1-120	19
Radium-228	0.64-5.0	2.3	0.74-6.8	2.7	0.77-6.6	2.0	0.62-86	7.4
Thorium-230	0.20-38	18	0.27-1,900	370	1.3-45	12	0.69-2,50	
Uranium-238	11-200	77	2.5-59	30	1.3-74	22	2.0-200	34

Results of the post-cleanup risk calculations for each segment are presented in Table 3. For comparison purposes, baseline risk calculations are also shown. Significant risk reduction (i.e., 40% or higher) was achieved for each segment with the highest amount of reduction observed in Segment C (i.e., 90%). The added risk reduction achieved in Segment C from removal of 14 additional locations not originally targeted in the EE/CA reduced the residual risk from 4 x 10⁻⁵ to 1 x 10⁻⁵. Additional removal of three locations in Segment D did not result in further risk reduction in this segment.

Table 3: Estimated Risk Reduction from Exposure to Sediment

1	Ħ	unter	Child		
Segment	Baseline	Post-Cleanup	Baseline	Post-Cleanup	
A	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	. 5 x 10 ⁻⁵	2×10^{-5}	
В	2×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-4}	5×10^{-5}	
c l	2×10^{-5}	3 x 10 ⁻⁶	9×10^{-5}	1×10^{-5}	
D	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	5 x 10 ⁻⁶	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	3 x 10 ⁻⁵	

Mr. Douglas E. Steffen Project Director MK-Ferguson Company 7295 Highway 94 South St. Charles, MO 63304

Dear Mr. Steffen:

POST-CLEANUP EVALUATION FOR THE SOUTHEAST DRAINAGE

Enclosed find the subject evaluation performed by Argonne National Lab for the purpose of determining the amount of risk reduction achieved by the removal action. The results of the post-cleanup assessment indicate that risk reduction was achieved in each segment of the drainage. At locations where sediment was removed, levels remaining after cleanup are near or below the 1×10^{-3} risk level for the hypothetical child scenario.

Per our discussions, two areas (locations 60 and 101) have been identified for follow-up investigation and evaluation for a possible additional limited removal effort. Please work closely with Tom Pauling.

Sincerely,

OFIGINAL SIGNED EY STEPHEN H. MOCPALIYEN

Stephen H. McCracken Próject Manager Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project

Enclosure: As stated.

cc w/enclosure: Gene Valett, PMC Rebecca Cato, PMC Mary Picel, ANL

000893

PAI:YDeyo:x7034:emh:9/3/98 (m:Sed Post Remediation)

CONCURRENCES/ REVIEWED BY RTG SYMBOL PAI INTTIALS (5) YDeyo DATE 9/3/98 CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL EM-95 CONCURRENCE CONCURRENCE: INITIALS/SIG. DATE CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL INITIALS/SIG. STAG

> CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL :NITTALS/SIG.

CONCURRENCE: RTG SYMBOL

INITIALE/SIG.

CONCURRENCE:

RTG SYMBOL

INITIALS/SIG.

DATE

DATE

DATE